



3200 WEST 300 NORTH
WEST POINT CITY, UT 84015

WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
ELECTRONIC MEETING
NO PHYSICAL MEETING LOCATION
September 1st, 2020

Mayor
Erik Craythorne
City Council
Gary Petersen, Mayor Pro Tem
Jerry Chatterton
Andy Dawson
R. Kent Henderson
Annette Judd
City Manager
Kyle Laws

Administrative Session
6:30 PM

Minutes for the West Point City Council Administrative Session held via electronic Zoom Meeting in accordance with the signed August 25, 2020 Written Determination of the Mayor and Planning Commission Chair of West Point City Concerning Electronic Meeting Anchor Location. Meeting was accessible to attendees by entering Meeting ID #88137342842 at <https://zoom.us/join> or by telephone at (669) 900-6833, on September 1, 2020 at 6:00 pm with Mayor Erik Craythorne presiding.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor Erik Craythorne, Council Member Gary Petersen, Council Member Annette Judd, Council Member Kent Henderson, Council Member Andy Dawson, and Council Member Jerry Chatterton

EXCUSED: None

CITY EMPLOYEES PRESENT: Kyle Laws, City Manager; Boyd Davis, Assistant City Manager; Ryan Harvey, Administrative Services Director; Paul Rochell, Public Works Director; and Casey Arnold, City Recorder

EXCUSED: None

VISITORS PRESENT: Visitors able to attend electronically, no sign-in required.

1. Discussion Regarding the Sale of Property Located at Approximately 369 N 3000 W – Mr. Kyle Laws

Mr. Laws stated that the City owns a small strip of land along the south boundary of Lot 1 of the Equestrian Ranchettes Subdivision. When the subdivision was built, the City retained a 15 ft. utility easement for a water line and a right-of-way for an access lane to some City property and facilities. The City no longer needs the access road but would retain an easement for the water line that exists. Lot 1 is owned by Nathan and Rebecca Scott and they have approached the City about purchasing a portion of this strip of land in order to extend their yard and keep it maintained. Staff has contacted the property owner to the south and they are not interested in the property, and has worked with the City Attorney to have an agreement and special warranty deed drafted for the transaction. The portion that they would be purchasing of this property is just to the back property line of their neighbors to the south, where the fence line marks the start of the Monticello Academy to the west, and the Scotts are offering \$1,500 as the purchase price. Consideration of approval of the sale is on the agenda in tonight's General Session.

Council Member Chatterton inquired as to why the Scotts wouldn't want to purchase the entire strip along their full lot, and Mr. Laws stated the parking lot for the medical building (now Monticello Academy) and landscaping encroached upon the property; he is unsure whether they were given permission to do that or not, but after the transaction with the Scotts is complete, Staff plans to approach Ed Miles (owner of that property) about purchasing that section since it is already being used.

The Council had no further discussion on the sale and would consider final approval in the General Session.

2. Discussion Regarding Setback Requirements for Private Driveways and Alleys – Mr. Boyd Davis

Mr. Davis stated that the Council recently approved new R-4 zone setback requirements of 20 ft. front yard setbacks, 20 ft. setbacks to the house, and a 25 ft. setback for the garage. However, through those discussions, there was some unanswered questions about the setback requirements along private lanes, alleys, and driveways. The Council approved the setbacks and decided that they would be applied the same to all street types but asked to discuss the private lanes again at a future meeting.

Staff believes that before considering the setbacks on these types of lanes, they should be clearly defined in City Code. Mr. Davis presented a map of the Yale Crest Townhomes Subdivision, which has three different street types within it, and also of the proposed Bluffview Subdivision, which has several road types in it as well. Through comparing the different road types and researching other cities' definitions, Staff proposes the following definitions:

Alley: A private roadway that provides access to the rear of residential or commercial buildings with a minimum of 20' of asphalt with curb and gutter on each side. Alleys can be a through street or a dead end, but dead-end alleys shall not provide access to more than 15 lots or units. Units or lots that have rear access from an alley shall also have frontage on a public road or a private road.

Private Lane: A private roadway that provides access to the front of residential or commercial buildings with a minimum width of 20' of asphalt with curb and gutter on each side. Private lanes can be a through street or a dead end, but dead-end lanes shall not provide access to more than 15 units or lots.

Private Road: A private roadway with a minimum of 50' right-of-way that includes curb, gutter, and sidewalk on both sides and meets the requirements found in the public works standard drawings. Dead ends are allowed but must be provided with a dedicated turnaround at the end and shall be no longer than 600' in length.

Public Road: A public roadway with a minimum of 60' right-of-way that meets the requirements found in the public works standard drawings.

Using those definitions, Staff also proposes the following front setbacks for the R-4 zone:

Road Type	Setback to Building	Setback to Garage
Alley	10'	10'
Private Lane	20'	20'
Private Road	20'	25'
Public Road	20'	25'

Mr. Davis stated that the definitions and setbacks are only proposals and meant to be a starting point for the Council's discussion. However, Staff does believe that what is proposed is a significant enough change to the Code that it should be sent to the Planning Commission for consideration and a recommendation to the Council. As such, the item is being presented to the Council now for initial thoughts and comments for the Planning Commission to consider.

Council Member Petersen inquired as to the width of a particular street type in the Yale Crest Subdivision, which Mr. Davis stated is a total of 25 ft. wide (20 ft. of asphalt with 2 ½ ft. curb and gutter on each side). Considering that, Council Member Petersen stated that under the proposed definitions, this would be considered a private lane. However, it is clear that this particular lane is not intended to be a street and only serves four units; he is concerned that a private lane providing access to more than 15 lots as proposed is a really high number for such a small street width. He continued to state that the street type at the back of these units seems to be an appropriate use of an "alley" as it accesses parking and different things, although it should still have a limitation on it. Mr. Davis noted that the '15 units' used in the proposed private lane definition came from the Sandy Point Townhome project wherein the longest private lane accesses 15 units. Mr. Laws stated that a lane long enough to access 15 units may seem like a lot, but when seen in reality, the length is not quite as long as it sounds. Council Member Petersen stated that his initial thoughts are that if there is going to be enough movement on a street that reflects what would be on a 'road', it needs to be considered an actual road and not an access lane or alley.

Council Member Chatterton agreed, and added that although projects in the R-4 zone will most typically have townhomes, a developer could choose to have less density and include single family lots. These definitions and setbacks would still apply in that situation because they would still be in the R-4 zone. He suggests that it may be better to use an 'and/or' definition that limits the maximum length of a road, rather than just be limited by the number of units.

Council Member Petersen also stated that in considering the proposed definitions and restrictions, he feels like "private lanes are the ugly stepsister in the mix"; the philosophy is that the normal access to a unit should be on a normal road, private or public, but developers might choose to install private lanes of 20 ft. rather than what really should be a 50 ft. road. The proposed definitions would allow a developer to do that, and so the definitions need to be clear on when a particular street type use is or isn't allowed.

Council Member Dawson added that it is important that the definitions also be specific on what materials are required for a street type – whether they can be gravel, asphalt, etc. Mr. Laws noted that the proposed definitions all require asphalt.

The Council will have further discussion on the item after receiving a recommendation from the Planning Commission.

3. Discussion Regarding a Request to Combine Lots in the Bennett Century Farms Subdivision – Mr. Boyd Davis

Mr. Davis stated that in this first phase of the Bennett Century Farms Subdivision, the Yaeger family owns Lots 101, 102, and 103. Their home is on Lot 102 and they would like to build an accessory building on their property, but City Code does not allow them to build an accessory building on a vacant lot. As such, they have requested to combine the three lots into one.

As this is a combination of lots owned by a single owner, no signatures from other lot owners or a public hearing is necessary. There is also no requirement for the amended plat to be reviewed by the Planning Commission. The only issue that must be resolved is that there are public utility easements between the lots; these must be vacated by the City, which should not be an issue as there are no utilities that would necessitate the easements.

Council Member Petersen inquired as to what the use of the accessory building is intended to be. Mr. Yeager responded that it will be used for an indoor sports court.

The Council had no further discussion and would consider final approval of the amended plat at the next meeting.

4. Other Items

No other items were discussed.

The Administrative Session adjourned.



3200 WEST 300 NORTH
WEST POINT CITY, UT 84015

WEST POINT CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
ELECTRONIC MEETING
NO PHYSICAL MEETING LOCATION
September 1st, 2020

Mayor
Erik Craythorne
City Council
Gary Petersen, Mayor Pro Tem
Jerry Chatterton
Andy Dawson
R. Kent Henderson
Annette Judd
City Manager
Kyle Laws

General Session

7:00 PM

Minutes for the General Session of the West Point City Council held via electronic Zoom Meeting in accordance with the signed August 25, 2020 Written Determination of the Mayor and Planning Commission Chair of West Point City Concerning Electronic Meeting Anchor Location. Meeting was accessible to attendees by entering Meeting ID #88137342842 at <https://zoom.us/join> or by telephone at (669) 900-6833, on September 1, 2020 at 7:00 pm with Mayor Erik Craythorne presiding.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Mayor Erik Craythorne, Council Member Gary Petersen, Council Member Annette Judd, Council Member Kent Henderson, Council Member Andy Dawson, and Council Member Jerry Chatterton

EXCUSED: None

CITY EMPLOYEES PRESENT: Kyle Laws, City Manager; Boyd Davis, Assistant City Manager; Ryan Harvey, Administrative Services Director; Paul Rochell, Public Workers Director; and Casey Arnold, City Recorder

EXCUSED: None

VISITORS PRESENT: Visitors able to attend electronically, no sign-in required.

1. **Call to Order** – Mayor Craythorne welcomed those attending the electronic meeting.
2. **Prayer** – Given by Council Member Chatterton
3. **Communications and Disclosures from City Council and Mayor**

Council Member Kent Henderson – None

Council Member Dawson – None

Council Member Chatterton – None

Council Member Petersen – None

Council Member Judd – The Swearing-In of the new West Point City Youth Council was held the previous week, and she thanked the Mayor for asking her to attend in his place. She would also like to thank every Youth Council Member and their families for their involvement and participation. The Youth Council is a great opportunity to experience leadership and learn how city administration works, and she looks forward to the future of these “awesome” youth.

Mayor Craythorne thanked Council Member Judd for attending the Swearing-In (held at the Loy Blake Park this year rather than during a City Council Meeting), in his place as he was under quarantine. He agreed that the Youth Council is a great program and thanked the leaders and all those involved.

Mayor Craythorne – The Mayor also disclosed that he is involved with the Bennett Century Farms Subdivision that is the subject of Item 9 in tonight’s meeting and will defer to Mayor Pro Tem Petersen to preside over that item.

The Mayor also informed the Council that Marilyn Cook, a West Point employee back when there were only two City employees, passed away the previous Friday. Mrs. Cook worked for the City for many years and the Mayor wanted to recognize

and thank her for her service and wishes her family the best. Council Member Dawson also noted that she was a wife to a longtime Council Member, Farrell Cook, and so she served the City in that capacity as well.

Lastly, Mayor Craythorne stated that he received a call the previous day from Tim Gooch, whose property on 1800 N was approved for storage units. Mr. Gooch asked if there would be a possibility of using the front portion of the property for temporary, open storage while the storage units behind are being built; the front portion is zoned differently than the back and doesn't allow storage units. There is not any ordinance in the City Code that allows for a temporary use or temporary zone, and the Mayor asked for the Council's thoughts on whether they would like to explore that possibility. The Mayor stated that one comment Mr. Gooch made that may be something for the Council to think about was that the zoning of the front part of his property would allow him to have an RV lot that *sells* RV's, but not to store them. The Council discussed the request and felt that, in Mr. Gooch's particular situation, the construction of the storage units wouldn't take long enough for it to be worth Staff's time and effort into researching the possibility – especially as Mr. Gooch has already submitted the preliminary plat for the units for approval. In regards to the idea as a whole, the Council also felt there isn't a need at this time to consider allowing temporary zoning and/or uses in City Code.

4. Communications from Staff

Mr. Laws stated that UTOPIA completed another phase in the City and those residents are now able to sign-up for service. This phase mostly runs down 4500 W and includes 25 S and 625 S. Mr. Laws also displayed a chart of the number of residents that have signed up for fiber internet, which shows an average about 30 new connections per week. Total sign-ups are already over the halfway mark of the 1,200 threshold needed to cover the bond payment. He stated that he is happy with the project and optimistic moving forward.

5. Citizen Comment

Michael Heinze – 366 N 3650 W: Mr. Heinze stated that he would like to comment on the subdivision that Jake Shepherd and Mark Thayne are planning to building on the old Matthews Family property off of 300 N and 3650 W. He has heard that these houses are going to be like “chicken coops” and is upset because surrounding residents did not spend upwards of \$400,000 to have “low income houses right next to us.” He is concerned about increased crime in the area, because “if these are going to be low income houses, its going to be low income people.” Mr. Heinze asked if this concern has been discussed and when the point will come when property taxes have to be raised to pay for more sheriffs. Mr. Heinze stated that his second concern is regards to schools, and how West Point Elementary is already overpacked. He asked if the property taxes from these low-income houses are going to be enough to pay for a new school. His third concern is about the traffic. He stated he has heard from the City that traffic capacity along 300 N is currently only at 50%, but doesn't understand why we would ever want it to be at 100% capacity. With an additional 250 homes coming in, traffic is going to be “a joke” as it already gets backed up clear to the west before and after school. His last concern is that even if, as he believes Mr. Shepherd has said, these houses are going to be around \$300,000 but are intended as “starter homes”, not many couples just starting out will be able to afford a \$300,000 house. As a result, he believes that property managers are going to come in and buy the properties to rent them out and there is nothing to stop them from doing so. Mr. Heinze also suggested that instead of having to click on every agenda to see when subdivisions are going to be approved, that there be an outline of each agenda listed so that it is not so cumbersome.

Mayor Craythorne thanked Mr. Heinze for his comment and noted that the rezone for the property Mr. Heinze is referring to has already been approved, but the final plans for the subdivision have not yet been submitted or approved. He invited Mr. Heinze to watch for when the subdivision is on an agenda to be considered for approval and provide comment at that meeting as well.

6. Consideration of Approval of the Minutes from August 4th, 2020 West Point City Council Meeting

Council Member Dawson motioned to approve the Minutes from the August 4, 2020 West Point City Council Meeting

Council Member Henderson seconded the motion

The Council unanimously agreed

7. Consideration of Final Approval of the Wildfire Estates Subdivision Phase 2 – Mr. Boyd Davis

Mr. Davis stated that this subdivision is located at approximately 50 S 4500 W and has been discussed by the Council in previous meetings. The streets and utilities in Phase 1 are already complete and houses are currently being built. The developers have submitted plans for Phase 2 and they have been reviewed by both Staff and the Planning Commission. There are 21 lots in Phase 2, ranging from 14,000 sq. ft. to 23,000 sq. ft. Additionally, there are three large lots on the west end of the project that range between 1 ½ to 2 acres. Approval has been received from all required agencies and Staff recommends approval of Phase 2. The Council had no further discussion.

Council Member Petersen motioned to approve

Council Member Judd seconded the motion

The Council unanimously agreed

8. Consideration of Approval to Accept a Proposal for the Sanitary Sewer Study – Mr. Boyd Davis

Mr. Davis stated that the Council recently approved the City budget that included funds for a sewer system study in the proposed annexation area northwest of the City, which consists of about a full square mile of property. The area does not currently have a sewer system and is lower than the existing sewer system in the City and so there are some unique challenges that exist in the area. Pump systems or some other type of mechanical system will have to be installed in order for these properties to be developed. There have been recent inquiries by developers about the area, and it seems time to have an in-depth study done to determine what the best sewer system for the area will be.

Requests for proposals were sent out to engineering firms that specialize in evaluating sewer systems and four proposals were received. A committee made up of three members of Staff, one Council Member, and one Planning Commissioner was put together to review the proposals. Those on the committee were himself, City Manager Kyle Laws, Public Works Director Paul Rochell, Council Member Andy Dawson, and Planning Commission Chair Brian Vincent. Each used a scoring sheet in order to make the selection as objectionable as possible – these types of proposals do not require that the City select the lowest bid, but rather the selection also consider qualifications, experience, etc. Mr. Davis stated that fortunately, the firm that the committee felt was the most qualified was also the lowest priced.

The four bids that were received are as follows:

Firm	Price
Bowen Collins	\$54,000
JUB Engineers	\$59,804
CRS Engineers	\$81,750
Sunrise Engineering	\$86,838

Mr. Davis stated that all four firms were considered highly qualified and so costs did come into play; the committee narrowed the selection down to the two lowest priced, which were Bowen Collins & Associates and JUB Engineers. Reference checks were done on both firms, and Bowen Collins received the best references. As such, the committee recommends that the contract for the study be awarded to Bowen Collins & Associates for \$54,000. Mr. Davis stated that the Council approved the budget for the study at \$40,000, but Davis County has committed to help fund the study, as the unincorporated area is within the County. Mr. Laws noted that the County has only verbally committed to contribute funds – they have not made any official decision. Mayor Craythorne stated that he “feels good” that the County will follow through with their commitment, and just need to go through the process to finalize their contribution.

Mayor Craythorne inquired as to how long the study is anticipated to take, which Mr. Davis responded that they estimate about five months to have it completed. The Mayor also asked for confirmation as to whether or not Bowen Collins will be coordinating with the North Davis Sewer District; in his conversations with Steve Lamb of the NDSD, there may be the possibility of a sewer system with two parallel lines that are smaller in diameter being able to service properties farther north than what has been thought could be done with a single line. Mr. Davis stated that Bowen Collins will definitely be working with the NDSD to evaluate all of the possible options to provide sewer to the area.

Council Member Chatterton asked Council Member Dawson, as the Board Chair of the NDSD, if the District has ever worked with Bowen Collins before. Council Member Dawson informed him that they have not, but he did ask the

District's current engineering firm, Brown & Caldwell, for their thoughts and they said that they are a "good firm and do good work." He added that although he had never heard of Bowen Collins personally before, after receiving their references it "was an easy call to make."

Council Member Petersen asked for clarification as to what creates the western boundary of the future annexation area. Mr. Davis stated that the west boundary is marked by the floodplain and the land below it is considered non-buildable.

The Council had no further discussion.

Council Member Dawson motioned to award the contract to Bowen Collins & Associates in the amount of \$54,000.

Council Member Chatterton seconded the motion

The Council unanimously agreed

9. Consideration of Final Approval to Place the Bennett Farms Subdivision Phase 1 on Warranty – Mr. Boyd Davis

****Mayor Craythorne recused himself and Mayor Pro Tem Petersen presided over this discussion***

Mr. Davis stated that the Bennett Farms Subdivision is located at 1100 N 4000 W and Phase 1 has actually been under construction for the past few years, with most of the homes already built and occupied. However, the subdivision has not been put under warranty because the detention pond had not yet been installed. It has now been completed and all required improvements have been installed. Staff recommends placing the subdivision under warranty.

Council Member Petersen inquired as to whether the detention pond is required to be landscaped. Mr. Davis stated that it is required to be landscaped, but the detention pond is actually part of a separate phase that will be developed in the future and is not adjacent to Phase 1. Although it will serve as a detention pond for Phase 1, he recommends that the landscaping be done once that future phase is built.

The Council had no further discussion.

Council Member Chatterton motioned to approve

Council Member Henderson seconded the motion

The Council unanimously agreed

****Mayor Craythorne returned to preside over the meeting at this point***

10. Consideration of Approval of Resolution No. 09-01-2020A, Approving a Motion to Sell Property Located at Approximately 369 N 3000 W – Mr. Kyle Laws

Mr. Laws stated that as discussed in tonight's Administrative Session, this strip of property is located on the south side of Lot 1 of the Equestrian Ranchettes Estates Subdivision and is owned by Nathan and Rebecca Scott. When the subdivision was built, the City retained a 15 ft. utility easement for a water line and a right-of-way for an access lane to some City property and facilities. Those facilities no longer exist and the Scotts have approached the City about purchasing a portion of the property in order to extend their yard and keep it maintained. The portion that they would be purchasing is just to the back property line of their neighbors to the south, where the fence line marks the start of the Monticello Academy to the west. The Scotts have offered \$1,500 as the purchase price. The water line easement will remain in effect and be recorded on the plat.

The Council had no further discussion.

Council Member Dawson motioned to approve Resolution No. 09-01-2020A

Council Member Chatterton seconded the motion

The Council unanimously agreed

11. Consideration of Approval of Resolution No. 09-01-2020B, Approving Standards for Sanitary Lift Stations – Mr. Boyd Davis

Mr. Davis stated that these standards have been discussed multiple times by the Council and reviewed the proposed standards with all revisions as discussed:

1. Wet Well & Site

- a. The site shall be adjacent to a public road or have a paved access from the public road to the site.
- b. The site shall be owned by the City or an easement provided to the City.
- c. The site shall be sized to allow a minimum of a 10' clear zone around the wet well and shall contain all of the components listed below within the site.
- d. A black vinyl coated chain link fence with privacy slats shall be installed around the perimeter of the site.
- e. A 14' wide opening with a lockable gate shall be provided.
- f. The site shall be finished with a hard surface of asphalt or concrete.
- g. There shall be no overhead obstructions over the site or the entrance to the enclosure.
- h. The access and gate shall provide a straight path for a boom truck to access the wet well without turning.
- i. An extendable ladder shall be provided and stored at the site.
- j. Conduits for power cables shall be provided from the wet well to the control panel.
- k. Separate conduits shall be provided for each motor.
- l. Extra conduits shall be provided for future upgrades.
- m. The wet well shall be sized to provide 2 hours of emergency storage during peak hour flows.
- n. The wet well shall be sized for the expected peak hour flows based upon data from the City's existing lift station or the standards of the Division of Water Quality, whichever is greater.
- o. A hose bib connection shall be provided on the site that is connected to the culinary water system for year-round water supply.
- p. Flood lights shall be provided on site for nighttime emergency operations that is tied into the onsite power and generator. The switch shall be in the enclosed electrical panel.

2. Power & Pumps

- a. 3 phase power shall be provided to the site for all pumps over 3hp.
- b. Single phase power supply shall be allowed for pumps under 3hp, but all pump motors and grinders shall be 3 phase equipment.
- c. Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) shall be provided for each pump. The VFD selected shall be approved by the City based upon expected loads and ampacity.
- d. Pump motors shall be a minimum of 5 hp or based upon engineering calculations and shall be approved by the City.
- e. Dual pumps shall be installed for redundancy and alternating of pumps.
- f. Grinders shall be used in all lift stations. They shall be separate from the pump. The grinder shall be a Muffin Monster brand or an approved equivalent.
- g. An additional spare pump shall be provided to the city.
- h. A backup power generator shall be provided that is capable of running both pumps simultaneously.
- i. The generator shall operate on propane fuel.
- j. A propane tank shall be provided on site.
- k. The propane tank shall be sized to allow 72 hours of continuous operation of the generator.
- l. The pumps shall be installed on solid pump guides. No cable or chain guides will be allowed.
- m. The pumps shall be automated and level controlled using ultra-sonic level sensors. Floats shall be installed as a backup system

3. Electrical Controls & SCADA

- a. The electrical panel shall be designed by the city's electrical contractor (currently Scott Weir with Johnson Electric)
- b. The panel shall have a hand/auto/off switch for each pump.
- c. The electrical panel shall be a waterproof, lockable enclosure.
- d. The panel shall be located away from the access gate on the opposite side of the enclosure so as not to impede access for a boom truck.
- e. A radio or internet connection shall be provided for the SCADA system.
- f. The site shall be equipped with a visual and audio alarm.

- g. The SCADA system shall be designed by the city's contractor (currently SKM)
- h. The panel shall have readout for the current level in the wet well.
- i. The panel shall have hour meters for each pump.
- j. The panel shall have a pump running indicator.
- k. The panel shall be equipped with a 120V outlet.
- l. The panel shall be heated.
- m. The electrical panel shall have an awning or roof covering to allow access during wet weather.

4. Others

- a. All homes that are served by the lift station shall have backflow preventers on the sewer lateral to the home.
- b. The developer shall have the lift station designed by a licensed professional engineer.
- c. The city shall approve the design and have it reviewed by a third-party engineer.

Mr. Davis stated that Staff recommends approval of these standards and noted that they would not be part of actual City Code, but adopted into the Public Works Standards for the City. He also clarified for Council Member Dawson that these standards only apply to small lift stations and a wet well is appropriate; a dry well for this size of lift station is not practical, but would be for regional lift stations that serve roughly 500 or more. Further consideration will be given to standards for large lift station as a part of the sewer study process.

Council Member Petersen suggested that item 4(c) be clarified to state that the review by the third-party engineer will be paid for by the developer and approved by the City as qualified to do such a review. The Council agreed and had no further discussion.

Council Member Petersen motioned to approve Resolution No. 09-01-2020B, approving standards for sanitary lift stations, with the inclusion of a statement in Item 4(c) that says the third-party engineer review will be paid for by the developer and that the third-party engineer would be approved by City Staff and is required to have lift station experience.

Council Member Chatterton seconded the motion
The Council unanimously agreed

12. Motion to Adjourn the General Session

Council Member Chatterton motioned to adjourn the General Session
Council Member Judd seconded the motion
The Council unanimously agreed


ERIK R. CRAYTHORNE, MAYOR October 6th, 2020


CASEY ARNOLD, CITY RECORDER October 6th, 2020

